

Galaxy as dissipative N – body system. Chemical aspect.

Peter Berczik, Sergei G. Kravchuk

*Main Astronomical Observatory of Ukrainian National Academy of Sciences
252650, Golosiiv, Kiev-022, Ukraine, e-mail: berczik@mao.kiev.ua*

Abstract. The evolving galaxy is considered as a system of baryonic fragments embedded into the static dark nonbaryonic (DH) and baryonic (BH) halo and subjected to gravitational and viscous interactions. Though the chemical evolution of each fragment is treated in the frame of one – zone model with instantaneous recycling, the local gas density and, therefore, its star formation (SF) activity is influenced by other interacting fragments. In spite of its simplicity the model provides a realistic description of the process of galaxy formation and evolution over the Hubble timescale.

1 The model

The Plummer – type (PLT) [2] halo is composed of DH and BH components. Their masses and softening parameters are correspondently equal to $10^{12} M_{\odot}$, $10^{11} M_{\odot}$, and $25 kpc$, $15 kpc$. The clumped baryonic matter (future galaxy disk and bulge) of mass $10^{11} M_{\odot}$ is equally distributed among $N = 2109$ PLT particles with softening parameters $1 kpc$. Initially particles are smoothly placed inside the sphere of radius $50 kpc$, which rigidly rotate around z axes with initial angular velocity that provide rotational support of matter in its outer equatorial region. They are involved into the Hubble flow ($H_0 = 75 km/s \cdot Mpc^{-1}$) and have random velocities $0 \div 10 km/sec$. Their subsequent dynamics is defined by particle – halo and particle – particle gravitational interactions and by viscous BH influence and is followed by means of effective N – body integrator with individual time step. Gravitational terms are defined in a standard manner. The comparison of model results with data of more sophisticated SPH approaches shows that viscous acceleration term can be choosed as $\mathbf{A}_i^{VISC} = -\mathbf{V}_i \cdot |\mathbf{V}_i| / R_{VISC} \cdot \rho_{BH}(r) / \rho_{VISC} \cdot m_i^{gas} / (m_i^{gas} + m_i^{star})$. Here ρ_{BH} is the baryonic halo local density and $\mathbf{V}_i$ is a particle velocity vector. $\rho_{VISC} = 0.2 cm^{-3}$ and $R_{VISC} = 10 kpc$ are model parameters. A particle mass is defined as a sum of gas m_i^{gas} and stellar m_i^{star} components which depend on its evolutionary status. Initially $m_i^{star} = 0$. To account for the influence of baryonic halo rotation onto the dynamics of baryonic particles in the disk galaxy plane $\mathbf{A}^{VISC}$ is set equal to $(0.15, 0.15, 1.0) \cdot \mathbf{A}^{VISC}$.

The characteristic time step in the integration procedure for a particle is $\delta t_i = 10^{-2} \cdot \min_j [\sqrt{|\mathbf{R}_{ij}| / |\mathbf{A}_{ij}|}, |\mathbf{R}_{ij}| / |\mathbf{V}_{ij}|]$, where the $\mathbf{V}_{ij} = \mathbf{V}_i - \mathbf{V}_j$ and the $\mathbf{A}_{ij} = \mathbf{A}_i - \mathbf{A}_j$ is a difference of accelerations of i and j particles. Such step value provides a nice momentum and energy conservation over the integration interval of about $15 \cdot 10^9 year$.

The local gas density ρ_i is defined in a SPH – like manner as a ratio of mass of gas contained in the sphere of radius H_i around the i – th particle to its volume. The

H_i is defined (using the quicksort algorithm) by the requirement that the number of particles inside this sphere is equal to $N_B = 21$ ($\approx 1\%$ of N). The particle eligible to star formation (SF) events is chosen as particle which still have a sufficient amount of gas (exceeding 0.01 of particle initial mass) and densities exceeding the critical value $\rho_{min,SF} = 0.01\text{ cm}^{-3}$ during the fixed time interval $\Delta t_{SF} = 50\text{ Myear}$. Such active particle produces stars with a Scalo – type initial mass function with SF efficiency ϵ is defined as $\epsilon = 0.5 \cdot \rho_i / 10\text{ cm}^{-3} \cdot (1 - \exp(-10\text{ cm}^{-3} / \rho_i))$. Each SF event is followed by SN explosions which inject into the particle the enriched gas of metallicity $Z_i + \Delta Z$. Initially $Z_i = 0.0$ and $\Delta Z = 0.01$ as the average value of enrichment for stars having metallicities in the range $Z = 0.001 \div 0.04$. The returned fraction of gas is set equal to $R = 0.25$. This gas is assumed to be immediately mixed with old (heavy element deficient) gas.

2 Conclusion

The rapidly rotating protogalaxy forms a three - component system resembling a typical spiral galaxy: a thin disk and spheroidal component made of gas and stars and a dark matter halo. The disk component poseses a typical spiral galaxy rotation curve and the distribution of radial and z - th velocities of particles clearly shows the presence of the central bulge. The metallicities and the global metallicity gradient resemble distributions observed in spiral galaxies. During the first 2 Gyr of evolution only about 20% of protogalaxy baryonic component is transformed into the stars, but, finally, the fraction of the stellar population grows up to 92%. The galaxy global star formation rate (SFR) can be considered as a continuous succesion of short bursts which initially doesn't exceed $28\text{ }M_{\odot}\text{ yr}^{-1}$. Then SFR gradually decreases up to the value of about $2\text{ }M_{\odot}\text{ yr}^{-1}$. All these data as well as surface densities distributions of stellar and gaseous components are in nice agreement with present date observational data of our own Galaxy [3, 4] and with results of more sophisticated theoretical approaches [7, 5, 6, 1].

Acknowledgements. Peter Berczik would like to acknowledge the Open Society Institute for partial financial support of this work under grants No. **TE7 100** and is especially thanks to Organizing Committee for financial support.

References

- [1] Berczik P., Kravchuk S.G., 1997, Ap.Sp.Sci. **245**, 27
- [2] Douphole B., Colin J., 1995, A.&A. **300**, 117
- [3] Kuijken K. Gilmore G., 1989, M.N.R.A.S. **239**, 605
- [4] Pagel B.E.J., 1994, in "The Formation and Evolution of Galaxies", Eds. Munoz - Tunon, C., Sanchez, F., Cambridge Univ. Press
- [5] Raiteri C.M., Villata M., Navarro J.F., 1996, A.&A. **315**, 105
- [6] Samland M., Hensler G., 1996, Reviews in Modern Astronomy **9**, 277
- [7] Steinmetz M., Muller E., 1994, A.&A. **281**, L97